Diastereoselective Conjugate Reduction and Enolate Trapping with Glyoxylate Imines. A Concise Approach to β -Lactams that Involves a Ternary Combination of Components

Claudio Palomo,* Jesús M^a Aizpurua, and Jose Javier Gracenea

Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Química, Universidad del Pais Vasco, Apdo 1072, 20080 San Sebastián, Spain

Received August 4, 1998

The development of efficient approaches to the stereocontrolled synthesis of β -lactams continues to be of crucial importance within the context of the most widely employed class of antimicrobial agents, the β -lactam antibiotics.¹ The most commonly used strategy to access to these systems lies, Figure 1, in the prior construction of a monocyclic β -lactam such as **2** followed by chemical manipulations at N-1 and C-4 positions of the azetidinone nucleus and ring closure at a later stage in the synthesis.² Besides this significance, 3-alkyl-4-alkoxycarbonylazetidin-2-ones 2 are also excellent candidates for the development of synthetic inhibitors of elastase enzymes.³ Three representative examples, Figure 2, of the latter are the L-652117 3,4 compound 4,5 and L-680,833 5.6 Therefore, it is not surprising that a vast number of methods are now available for the stereoselective synthesis of monocyclic β -lactams.⁷ With few exceptions, the majority of these methods involve a combination of two reactants that provide the required β -lactam framework in a single

(5) Shah, S. K.; Finke, P. E.; Brause, K. A.; Chandler, G. O.; Ashe, B. M.; Weston, H.; Maycock, A. L.; Mumford, R. A.; Doherty, J. B. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1993**, *3*, 2295.

(6) Kuo, D.; Weidner, J.; Griffin, P.; Shah, S. K.; Knight, W. B. Biochemistry 1994, 33, 8347.







Figure 2.

synthetic step. Special attention has been put on the use of the metal ester enolate-imine condensation because of the easy availability of the starting materials and the high levels of asymmetric induction often attained through the use of either chiral carboxylic acid esters or chiral imines.⁸ We report here a conceptually different, but in practice equivalent, strategy to access to β -lactams that is based on a ternary combination of components. Namely, the conjugate addition of hydride reagents to enoates or related systems and subsequent condensation of the resulting enolate with an imine.

Prior to the present investigation, very few studies concerning the coupling reaction of three reactants to furnish β -lactams have been described. All of these cases have dealt with the addition of nitrogen nucleophiles to enoates followed by enolate trapping with an electrophile.⁹ The resulting intermediate β -amino acid and/or ester, upon cyclization at a separate step, led to the corresponding β -lactam product. Contemporary to these studies, we have also reported on the addition of the higher order Fleming's cyanosilylcuprate reagent to methyl crotonate followed by condensation with glyoxylate imines.¹⁰ In these instances, the resulting β -amino ester intermediate cyclized spontaneously to give the desired β -lactam product in a single pot operation albeit in its recemic form. As an extension of this work and in

⁽¹⁾ For reviews on this subject, see: (a) Southgate, R.; Elson, S. In *Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products*, Herz, W., Grisebach, H., Kirby, G. W., Tamm, C., Eds.; Springer: Vienna, 1985; Vol. 47, p 1. (b) Southgate, R.; Branch, C.; Coulton, S.; Hunt, E. In *Recent Progress in the Chemical Synthesis of Antibiotics and Related Microbial Products*, Lukacs, G., Ed.; Springer: Berlin, 1993; Vol. 2, p 621. (c) Southgate, R. *Contemp. Org. Synth.* **1994**, *1*, 417. (d) *The Chemistry of β-Lactams*; Page, M. I., Ed.; Chapman and Hall: London, 1992.

⁽²⁾ For strategies on the synthesis of bicyclic β -lactams, see: (a) Palomo, C. In *Recent Progress in the Chemical Synthesis of Antibiotics and Related Microbial Products*; Lukacs, G., Ohno, M. Eds.; Springer: Berlin, 1990; Vol. 1, p 565. (b) Kant, J.; Walker, D. G. In *The Organic Chemistry of* β -Lactams; Georg, G. I., Ed.; VCH: New York, 1993; p 121.

⁽³⁾ For reviews, see: (a) Edwards, P. D.; Bernstein, P. R. *Med. Res. Rev.* **1994**, *14*, 127. (b) Mascaretti, O. A.; Boschetti, C. E.; Danelon, G. O.; Mata, E. G.; Roveri, O. A. *Current Med. Chem.* **1995**, *1*, 441.

⁽⁴⁾ Firestone, R. A.; Barker, P. L.; Pisano, J. M.; Ashe, B. M.; Dahlgren, M. E. *Tetrahedron* **1990**, *46*, 2255.

⁽⁷⁾ For general reviews on β -lactam synthesis, see: (a) Koppel, G. A. In *Small Ring Heterocycles*; Hassner, A., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1983; Vol. 42, p 219. (b) Backes, J. In *Houben-Weyl*, *Methoden der Organischen Chemie*, Muller, E., Bayer, O., Eds.; Thieme: Stuttgart, 1991; Band E 16B, p 31. (c) Dekimpe, N. In *Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry II*; Padwa, A., Ed.; 1996; Vol. 1B, p 507. For recent reviews on asymmetric synthesis of β -lactams, see: (d) Thomas, R. C. In *Recent Progress in the Chemical Synthesis of Antibiotics*; Lukacs, G., Ohno, M., Eds.; Springer: Berlin, 1990; Vol. 1, p 533. (e) Ternansky, R. J.; Morin, J. M., Jr. In *The Organic Chemistry of* β -Lactams, Georg, G. I., Ed.; VCH: New York, 1993; p 257. (f) Ghosez, L.; Marchand-Brynaert, J. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*, Trost, B., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 5, p 85.

⁽⁸⁾ For reviews, see: (a) Hart, D. J.; Ha, D. C. Chem. Rev. **1989**, 89, 1447. (b) Brown, M. J. Heterocycles **1989**, 29, 2225. (c) Georg, G. I. In Studies in Natural Product Chemistry, Rahman, A.-ur., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1989; Vol. 4, p 431. (d) Fujisawa, T.; Shimizu, M. Rev. Heteroatom. Chem. **1996**, 15, 203. (e) Cainelli, G.; Panunzio, M.; Giacomini, D.; Martelli, G.; Spunta, G.; Bandini, E. In Chemical Synthesis, Gnosis to Prognosis, Chatgilialoglu, C., Snieckus, V., Eds.; Kluwer Academic: Amsterdam, 1996; p 25.

<sup>Kluwer Academic: Amsterdam, 1996; p 25.
(9) (a) Yamamoto, Y.; Asao, N.; Uyehara, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 5427. (b) Asao, N.; Uyehara, T.; Tsukada, N.; Yamamoto, Y. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1995, 68, 2103. (c) Davies, S. G.; Fenwick, D. R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1997, 565. For a comprehensive review on conjugate addition-enolate trapping, see: (d) Hulce, M.; Chapdelaine, M. J. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Semmelhack, M. F., Ed.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 4, p 237. For another approach to β-lactams involving a one-pot reaction of an amine, an aldehyde, and silyl ketnet thioacetals, see: (e) Annunziata, R.; Cinquini, M.; Cozzi, F.; Molteni, V.; Schupp, O. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 8293.</sup>



Scheme 1^a

^a Reagents and conditions: (a) M-Selectride (2.2 equiv). CuBr·SMe₂ (1.1 equiv), SMe₂ (17 equiv), THF, -78 °C, 1 h; (b) PMP-N= CH-CO₂-*t*-Bu 7, -78 °C to rt, 16 h; (c) F₃CCO₂H (40 equiv), CH₂Cl₂, rt, 4 h; 73-91%; (d) CH₂N₂, Et₂O, 0 °C. PMP: 4-MeOC₆H₄.



^a Reagents and conditions: (a) CAN, MeCN; (b) (Boc)₂O; (c) 4-MeOC₆H₄MgBr, THF, -40 °C; (d) L-Selectride, THF, -78 °C; (e) nicotinic acid CrO₃ (NDC), pyridine, toluene. PMP: 4-MeOC₆H₄.

view of the existing precedents on asymmetric conjugate reductions,¹¹ we thought that this approach would also be valuable for the synthesis of nonracemic β -lactams both as intermediates of β -lactam antibiotics and elastase inhibitors.

For the study, we elected to use *N*-enoylsultams **6a**-**g** which have proven to be good acceptors in conjugate reductions.¹² Initially, the approach (Scheme 1) was examined under established conditions¹³ by the addition of either L-Selectride or K-Selectride to each N-enoylsultam 6a-g in THF at -78 °C. After 1 h at the same temperature, the glyoxylate imine 7 was added. Unfortunately, only the N-acylsultams from the reduction of **6a**-g were obtained in each case tested along with traces of the expected β -lactam products. Increasing the temperature of the enolate trapping step from -78 °C to room-temperature did not improve the results. Under the above conditions, N-Selectride also proved to be effective for the conjugate reduction but not for the carbon-carbon bond-forming step. Variations on the reaction solvent

Table 1. Conjugate Reduction of 6a-g Followed by Enolate Trapping with Imine 7^a

ontwo	D	м	nnaduat	yield	oisitrons (aa (9/)d
entry	ĸ	IVI	product	(70)5	cis.trails *	ee (%) ^a
1	Н	Li	8a	52	73:27	75 (97) ^e
2	Н	Κ	8a	40	88:12	≥99
3	Н	Na	8a	55	98:2	≥ 99
4	Me	Li	8b	72	72:28	97
5	Me	Κ	8b	50	98:2	≥ 99
6	Me	Na	8b	70	98:2	≥99
7	Ph	Li	8c	54	72:28	82
8	Ph	Κ	8 c	35	98:2	≥ 99
9	Ph	Na	8 c	40	85:15	≥ 99
10	Et	Na	8d	60	95:5	98
11	<i>n</i> -Bu	Na	8e	60	99:1	98
12	4-MeC ₆ H ₄	Na	8f	55	\geq 99:5 ^{<i>f</i>}	98
13	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	Na	8g	50	\geq 99:5 ^f	96

^a Reactions carried out in THF on 1 mmol scale, see Experimental Section, using the following molar ratio of reagents: N-enoylsultam (0.25 M)/M-Selectride (1.0 M)/CuBr·SMe₂/SMe₂ 1:2.2:1.1:17. The reduction step was performed at -78 °C for 30 min and the trapping with the imine 7 (0.5 M in THF) from -78°C to 25 °C for 16 h. For entries 12 and 13, the reduction step was performed at -50 °C for 2.5 h. ^b Yields of the pure isolated cis isomers. ^c The cis:trans ratio measured by GC-MS analysis (major isomers gave longer retention times than minor ones). The cis:trans ratio was also confirmed by ¹H NMR. ^d Values related to major cis-(3S,4S) isomers after derivatization to the cis-3-alkyl-4-(methoxycarbonyl)- β -lactams 9. The ee values were determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC analysis (column: Chiralpak-AS 250 × 4.6 mm; eluant: *i*-PrOH/hexane; flow 50/50 to 70/30 mL/min). e Value in parentheses indicates ee after a single crystallization from cyclohexane. ^f Determined by ¹H NMR.

(THF-HMPA, toluene, or diethyl ether) and/or temperature conditions for enolate trapping all met with failure.¹⁴ The finding was that copper halide complexes (CuX·SMe₂) in a molar ratio 1:2 (complex:M-Selectride) along with dimethyl sulfide as cosolvent efficiently promoted both the conjugate reduction and the enolate trapping, resulting in a clean formation of β -lactams **8a**g. In every case, after the addition of the imine 7, the latter step of the reaction was carried out by leaving off the cooling of the mixture from -78 °C to room temperature during 16 h. Noticeably, when the whole process was performed entirely at -78 °C overnight, no β -lactam product was formed. Likewise, trapping of the enolate at 0 °C did not lead to the formation of the expected β -lactam. As the results in Table 1 show, L-Selectride, under the established conditions, afforded β -lactams **8a**-c (entries 1, 4, and 7) in reasonable yields, but the cis:trans selectivity was poor. K-Selectride (entries 2, 5, and 8) provided better stereochemical control and enantiomeric purity for the corresponding cis-(3*S*,4*S*) isomers. However, in these cases, the yields were only moderate. The best results were achieved by the use of N-Selectride as the reducing agent. On the other hand, although the choice of the halide in the copper additive was unimportant (CuCl·SMe2 and CuI·SMe2 gave similar results to CuBr·SMe₂), the nature of the ligand used appeared to be critical as shown by the fact that dicoordinated CuX· TMEDA complex¹⁵ gave only 20% yield of **8b** from **6b** and N-Selectride. Increasing the SMe₂:CuX molar ratio from an equimolar amount to an excess (typically, 15 equiv of SMe₂ per mol of CuX), raised the yield of isolated **8a** from 20 to 55% (entry 3). In a similar way, 8b and 8c were

⁽¹¹⁾ For reviews on asymmetric conjugate additions, including conjugate reductions, see: (a) Rossiter, B. E.; Swingle, N. M. Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 771. (b) Schmalz, H.-G. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Semmelhack, M. F., Ed.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 4, p 199. (c) Leonard, J. Contemp. Org. Synth. 1994, 1, 387. (d) Perlmutter, P. Conjugate Addition Reactions in Organic Synthesis, Pergamon: Oxford, 1992.

⁽¹²⁾ Oppolzer, W.; Poli, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 4717

⁽¹³⁾ For conjugate reductions of enones and enoates with K- and L-Selectride, see: (a) Reference 12. (b) Fortunato, J. M.; Ganem, B. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 2194. (c) Bell, R. A.; Turner, J. V. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 4871. (d) Cativiela, C.; Diaz-de-Villegas, D.; Galvez, J. A. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1992, 65, 1657. For an extensive list of references concerning conjugate reductions, see: (e) Kawakami, T.; Miyatake, M.; Shibata, I.; Baba, A. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 376.

⁽¹⁴⁾ In general, glyoxylate imines do not react well with enolates, I.; Kant, J.; Gill, H. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 1129.
 (15) Johnson, C. R.; Marren, T. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 27.



Figure 3.

produced in substantially lower yields when the process was carried out in the absence of the SMe₂ cosolvent. In general, the method affords β -lactams either from 2-alkyl-1-enoyl- or 2-aryl-1-enoylsultams 6 in moderate to good yields and with remarkable diastereo- and enantioselectivity. N-Cinnamoylsultams 6f,g bearing electron-donating substituents required temperatures of -50 °C to give a complete conjugate reduction with N-selectride (entries 12 and 13). In particular, the enolate resulting from 6g (entry 13) gave the β -lactam **8g** only if the trapping step was carried out by warming the reaction mixture from -78 to 25 °C during a period not exceeding for 3 h. As a final remark, a change of the CuBr·SMe2:M-Selectride molar ratio from 1:2 to 1:1 rendered the reaction sluggish, affording traces of the expected β -lactams toghether with undefined byproducts.

For each compound 8a-e, the cis relative disposition of the vicinal methine protons at C-3 and C-4 positions was easily established on the basis of the ¹H NMR coupling constants ($J_{3,4} \approx 5.9$ Hz), and the enantiomeric purity was determined by comparative chiral stationary phase HPLC analysis of 4-methoxycarbonylazetidinones 9a-e, derivatized from 8a-e, and their equivalent racemic β -lactams.¹⁶ Obviously, by simply changing the N-enoylsultams employed in this strategy to their enantiomers, the corresponding enantiomers of both 8 and 9 would be equally available. The enantiomer of 9b would be the precursor of either the antibiotic (+)-PS-5¹⁷ or the elastase inhibitors 3-5, while the β -lactams 9c and 9gconstitute valuable precursors of inhibitors of prostate specific antigen.¹⁸ Besides this significance, ring opening of these β -lactam products by oxygen and nitrogen nucleophiles is expected to give β -alkyl aspartic acid derivatives.¹⁹ Product **9a**, on the other hand, can be transformed into the amino lactone 11, Figure 3, the cyclized form of the N-terminal amino acid residue found



Figure 4. Enantiomeric excess determination by chiral stationary phase HPLC analysis for the aminolactone **11** by comparison with the racemic mixture (\pm)-**11**: column Chiral-pak-AS 250 x 4.6 mm; eluant *i*-PrOH/hexanes 50/50. The enantiomeric purity of the β -lactams **9a**-**g** was determined in the same way by comparison with the corresponding racemic compounds (see ref 16).

in the antibiotic family of nikkomycins (10).²⁰ For example, N-dearylation of 9a according to the Kronenthal procedure²¹ and subsequent Boc introduction afforded **12** in 60% yield over the two steps. Ring opening of 12 with the corresponding Grignard reagent led to the β -amino ketone 13. As expected by our previous work on racemic nikkomycin,²² the L-Selectride reduction of 13 provided aminolactone 11 along with 14. The latter, after chromatographic separation, was transformed into 11 in 76% overall yield. A comparative chiral HPLC analysis of 11 with the equivalent racemic compound, Figure 4, showed its enantiomeric purity, and the comparison of the optical rotation of 11 with the reported values confirmed its absolute configuration. The configuration of the β -lactam products was established by analogy and by assuming a uniform mechanism for the present three-component coupling reaction.23

In summary, the examples described here demonstrate that N-Selectride in combination with $CuX_2 \cdot SMe_2$ halides efficiently promote both conjugate reduction and enolate trapping with glyoxylate imines leading to important β -lactam intermediates with remarkable enantioselectivity.

Experimental Section

Melting points were determined with capillary apparatus and are uncorrected. $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz) spectra and $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR

^{(16) 4-}*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl- β -lactams **8a**–**c** showed very deficient chromatographic peak resolution in comparison with β -lactams **9a**–**c**, which were prepared in their racemic form by the ketene–imine [2 + 2] cycloaddition reaction of the corresponding alkanoyl chlorides with the imine derived from *p*-anisidine and methyl glyoxylate, according to our previously reported procedure: Palomo, C.; Ontoria, J. M.; Odriozola, J. M.; Aizpurua, J. M.; Ganboa, I., *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1990**, 248.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Cainelli, G.; Panunzio, M.; Giacomini, D.; Martelli, G.; Spunta,
G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 6879. For a review on (+)-PS-5, see:
Palomo, C. In Recent Progress in the Chemical Synthesis of Antibiotics,
Lukacs, G., Ohno, M., Eds.; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1990; p 565.
(18) Adlington, R. M.; Baldwin, J. E.; Chen, B.; Cooper, S. L.;

⁽¹⁸⁾ Adlington, R. M.; Baldwin, J. E.; Chen, B.; Cooper, S. L.; McCoull, W.; Pritchard, G. J.; Howe, T. J.; Becker, G. W.; Hermann, R. B.; McNulty, A. M.; Neubauer, B. L. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1997**, 7, 1689.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Palomo, C.; Aizpurua, J. M.; Ontoria, J. M.; Iturburu, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 4823. For a recent review on β -lactams as building blocks of β -amino acids and their derivatives, see: Palomo, C.; Aizpurua, J. M.; Ganboa, I. In *Enantioselective Synthesis of* β -*Amino Acids*; Juaristi, E., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 1997, p 279.

⁽²⁰⁾ For some recent examples on synthesis of the N-terminal amino acid of nikkomycins, see: (a) Barrett, A. G. M.; Lebold, S. A. J. Org. Chem. **1991**, 56, 4875. (b) Barluenga, J.; Viado, A. L.; Aguilar, E.; Fustero, S.; Olano, B. J. Org. Chem. **1993**, 58, 5972. (c) Mukai, C.; Miyakawa, M.; Hanaoka, M. Synlett **1994**, 165. (d) Akita, H.; Chen, C. Y.; Uchida, K. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry **1995**, 6, 2131. (e) Mandville, G.; Ahmar, M.; Bloch, R. J. Org. Chem. **1996**, 61, 1122. (f) Aggarwal, V. K.; Monteiro, N.; Tarver, G. J.; Lindell, S. D. J. Org. Chem. **1996**, 61, 1192. (g) Tamura, O.; Mita, N.; Kusaka, N.; Suzuki, H.; Sakamoto, M. Tetrahedron Lett. **1997**, 38, 429.

⁽²¹⁾ Kronenthal, D. R.; Han, C. Y.; Taylor, M. K. J. Org. Chem. **1982**, 47, 2765.

⁽²²⁾ Palomo, C.; Aizpurua, J. M.; García, J. M.; Iturburu, M. Odriozola, J. M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 5184.

⁽²³⁾ Another paper will deal with conjugate additions of carbon nucleophiles and enolate trapping with glyoxylate imines, see: Palomo, C.; Aizpurua, J. M.; Gracenea, J. J.; Garcia-Granda, S.; Pertierra, P. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *2201*, 1.

spectra (75 MHz) were recorded at room temperature for CDCl₃ solutions, unless otherwise stated. All chemical shifts are reported as $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ values (ppm) relative to, respectively, residual CHCl₃ $\delta_{\rm H}$ (7.26 ppm) and CDCl₃ $\delta_{\rm C}$ (77.0 ppm) as internal standards. Mass spectra were obtained with a mass spectrometer (70 eV), equipped with ion-trap, and using GC-MS coupling (column of fused silica, 15 m, 0.25 mm, 0.25 μ m phase SPB-5). Optical rotations were measured at 25 ± 0.2 °C in methylene chloride unless otherwise stated. HPLC analyses were performed on a preparative column (25 cm, 3.0 cm, 7 µm phase Lichrosorb-Si60) with flow rates of 10 mL/min and using a UV detector (254 nm). Flash chromatography was performed on silica gel (230-400 mesh) using mixtures of ethyl acetate and hexane as eluants. Tetrahydrofuran was distilled over sodium and benzophenone (indicator). Methylene chloride was shaken with concentrated sulfuric acid, dried over potassium carbonate, and distilled. Commercially available compounds were used without further purification. The N-enoyl sultams $\mathbf{6a}^{24}$ and $\mathbf{6b}-\mathbf{g}^{25}$ were prepared by literature procedures. Nicotinic acid CrO₃ complex (NDC) was prepared as described.²⁶

General Procedure for the Three-Component Synthesis of β -Lactams 8a–g. M-Selectride, 1 M in THF, (2.2 mL, 2.2 mmol) was dropped over a solution of CuBr·SMe₂ (0.23 g, 1.1 mmol) and SMe₂ (1.4 mL) in THF kept under nitrogen at -78 °C, and the mixture was stirred for 30 min at the same temperature. A solution of N-enoylsultam 6 (1 mmol) in THF (4 mL) was added dropwise, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at the same temperature. A solution of the imine 7 (0.47 g, 2 mmol) in THF (4 mL) was then added, and the mixture was allowed to reach room temperature during 16 h. After this time, the reaction mixture was diluted in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL), washed with saturated NH₄Cl (3 \times 30 mL), and dried over MgSO₄. Evaporation of the solvents yielded a crude containing cis and trans isomers from which the cis isomer was purified by column chromatography (230-400 mesh silica gel; eluant EtOAc/hexane 1/14). Analytical samples were obtained by crystallization from cyclohexane.

(3*S*,4*S*)-4-*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl-3-methyl-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)azetidin-2-one (8a). The general procedure was followed using N-Selectride, 1 M in THF, (2.2 mL, 2.2 mmol) and (1*S*)-*N*-(acryloyl)-2,10-camphorsultam (0.27 g, 1 mmol): yield: 0.16 g (55%); mp 94–95 °C (cyclohexane); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -132.3$ (*c* = 1.0, CH₂Cl₂); IR (KBr, *v* cm⁻¹) 1734 (C=O); MS (*m*/*z*, rel intensity) 291 (10.3), 235 (40.7), 163 (100.0), 134 (66.2); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 7.24 (d, 2H, *J* = 9.0 Hz), 6.85 (d, 2H, *J* = 9.0 Hz), 4.46 (d, 1H, *J* = 6.2 Hz), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.63 (dq, 1H, *J* = 7.5, 6.2 Hz), 1.46 (s, 9H), 1.32 (d, 3H, *J* = 7.3 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 167.9, 166.3, 156.2, 131.2, 117.9, 114.3, 83.0, 56.2, 55.4, 47.6, 28.1. Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₁NO₄ (291.35): C, 65.96; H, 7.26; N, 4.81. Found: C, 65.50; H, 6.43; N, 4.71.

(3*S*,4*S*)-4-*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl-3-ethyl-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)azetidin-2-one (8b). The general procedure was followed using N-Selectride, 1 M in THF, (2.2 mL, 2.2 mmol) and (1*S*)-*N*-crotyl-2,10-camphorsultam (0.28 g, 1 mmol): yield 2.1 g (70%); mp 74–76 °C (cyclohexane); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -113.5$ (c = 1.0, CH₂Cl₂); IR (KBr, $v \text{ cm}^{-1}$) 1730, 1734 (C=O); MS (m/z, rel intensity) 222 (0.5), 149 (29.3), 134 (70.6), 57 (100.0); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 7.24 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz), 6.86 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz), 4.47 (d, 1H, J = 6 Hz), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.46 (dt, 1H, J = 6.0, 8.0 Hz), 1.87–1.68 (m, 2H), 1.46 (s, 9H), 1.12 (t, 3H, J = 7.5 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 168.0, 165.9, 156.1, 131.1, 117.8, 114.2, 82.8, 55.9, 55.4, 54.4, 27.9, 18.8, 12.1. Anal. Calcd. for C₁₇H₂₃NO₄ (305.37): C, 66.86; H, 7.59; N, 4.59. Found: C, 66.67; H, 8.18; N, 5.05.

(3*S*,4*S*)-3-Benzyl-4-*tert*-butoxycarbonyl-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)azetidin-2-one (8c). The general procedure was followed using N-Selectride, 1 M in THF, (2.2 mL, 2.2 mmol) and (1*S*)-*N*-cinamoyl-2,10-camphorsultam (0.35 g, 1 mmol): yield 0.15 g (40%); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -72.0$ (c = 1.0, CH₂Cl₂); IR (KBr, $v \text{ cm}^{-1}$) 1751, 1728 (C=O); MS (m/z, rel intensity) 367 (2.0), 149 (16.8), 134 (100.0); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 7.36–7.19 (m, 7H, J=9.0 Hz), 6.86 (d, 2H, J=9.0 Hz), 4.55 (d, 1H, J=6.0 Hz), 3.89 (dt, 1H, J=8.2, 6.0 Hz), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.24 (dd, 1H, J=15.5, 7.4 Hz), 3.03 (dd, 1H, J=15.5, 8.4 Hz), 1.46 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 167.8, 165.1, 156.2, 137.8, 131.1, 128.7, 128.5, 126.6, 117.8, 114.3, 83.2, 56.2, 55.4, 52.9, 30.7, 27.8. Anal. Calcd. for C₂₂H₂₅NO₄ (367. 44): C, 71.91; H, 6.86; N, 3.81. Found: C, 71.60; H, 6.63; N, 3.73.

(3*S*,4*S*)-4-*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-*n* propylazetidin-2-one (8d). The general procedure was followed using N-Selectride, 1 M in THF, (2.2 mL, 2.2 mmol) and (1.*S*) *N*-(*E*)-pentenoyl-2,l0-camphorsultam (0.30 g, 1 mmol): yield 0.18 g (60%); mp 52–54 °C (EtOAc/hexane); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -122.1$ (c = 0.7, CH₂Cl₂); IR (KBr, $v \text{ cm}^{-1}$) 1751 (C=O); MS(m/z, rel intensity) 134 (21.9), 162 (67.1), 263 (100.0), 319 (18.4); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 7.24 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz), 6.85 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz), 4.45 (d, 1H, J = 6.0 Hz), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.51 (dt, 1H, J = 6.0, 7.4 Hz), 1.90–1.42 (m, 4H), 1.46 (s, 9H), 1.32–1.28 (m, 4H), 0.89 (t, 3H, J = 6.4 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 168.0, 166.0, 156.1, 131.2, 117.8, 114.2, 82.9, 56.0, 55.5, 52.8, 28.0, 27.5, 20.8, 13.9. Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₂₅NO₄ (319.40): C, 67.69; H, 7.89; N, 4.39. Found: C, 67.67; H, 8.02; N, 4.62.

(3*S*,4*S*)-4-*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-*n* pentylazetidin-2-one (8e). The general procedure was followed using N-Selectride, 1 M in THF, (2.2 mL, 2.2 mmol) and (1.*S*)-*N*-(*E*)-heptenoyl-2,10-camphorsultam (0.32 g, 1 mmol): yield 0.21 g (60%); mp 52–54 °C (EtOAc/hexane); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -76.1 (c = 0.7, CH_2Cl_2)$; IR (KBr, $v \text{ cm}^{-1}$) 1749, 1725 (C=O); MS(*m*/*z*, rel intensity) 347 (16.1), 291 (100.0), 219 (48.0); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 7.23 (d, 2H, J = 9.1 Hz), 6.85 (d, 2H, J = 9.1 Hz), 4.45 (d, 1H, J = 6.0 Hz), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.52 (dt, 1H, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.87–1.68 (m, 4H), 1.46 (s, 9H), 0.92 (t, 3H, J = 7.0 Hz), 142, NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 168.0, 166.0, 156.1, 131.1, 117.8, 114.2, 82.9, 56.1, 55.4, 53.0, 31.7. 28.0, 27.2, 25.5, 22.4, 14.0. Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₂₉NO4 (347.45): C, 69.14; H, 8.41; N, 4.03. Found: C, 69.35; H, 8.35; N, 3.98.

(3*S*,4*S*)-4-*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-[(4-methylphenyl)methyl]azetidin-2-one (8f). The general procedure was followed using N-Selectride, 1 M in THF, (2.2 mL, 2.2 mmol) and (1.5)-*N*-(*p*-methylcinamoyl)-2,10-canphorsultam (0.36 g, 1 mmol); yield 0.21 g (55%); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -64.0$ (*c* = 1.0, CH₂Cl₂); IR (KBr, *v* cm⁻¹) 1751, 1734 (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 7.25 (d, 2H, *J* = 9.0 Hz), 7.17 (d, 2H, *J* = 6.3 Hz), 7.12 (d, 2H, *J* = 6.3 Hz), 6.86 (d, 2H, *J* = 9.0 Hz), 4.53 (d, 1H, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 3.85 (dt, 1H, *J* = 7.9, 6.0 Hz), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.18 (dd, 1H, *J* = 15.0, 7.3 Hz), 2.98 (dd, 1H, *J* = 15.0, 8.2 Hz), 2.32 (s, 3H), 1.38 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 167.9, 165.2, 156.2, 136.1, 134.7, 131.1, 129.2, 128.4, 117.8, 114.3, 83.2, 56.3, 55.5, 53.2, 30.4, 27.9, 21.0. Anal. Calcd for C₂₃H₂₇NO₄ (381.46): C, 72.42; H, 7.13; N, 3.67. Found: C, 72.32; H, 7.15; N, 3.71.

(3*S*,4*S*)-4-*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-[(4-methoxyphenyl)methyl]azetidin-2-one (8g). The general procedure was followed using N-Selectride, 1 M in THF, (2.2 mL, 2.2 mmol) and (1*S*)-*N*-(4-methoxycinamoyl)-2,10-camphorsultam (0.38 g, 1 mmol): yield 0.2 g (50%); mp 110–112 °C (cyclohexane); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -80.2$ (c = 1.0, CH₂Cl₂); IR (KBr, $v \text{ cm}^{-1}$) 1756, 1735 (C=O). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 7.26 (m, 2H, J =9.0 Hz), 7.22 (m, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz), 6.87 (d, 4H, J = 9.0 Hz), 4.54 (d, 1H, J = 6.0 Hz), 3.84 (dt, 1H, J = 7.9, 6.0 Hz), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.16 (dd, 1H, J = 15.2, 7.8 Hz), 2.96 (dd, 1H, J = 15.2, 7.9 Hz), 1.40 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 167.9, 165.2, 158.2, 156.2, 129.8, 129.5, 117.7, 114.3, 114.0, 113.8, 83.1, 56.2, 55.4, 53.3, 30.0, 27.9. Anal. Calcd for C₂₃H₂₇NO₅ (397.46): C, 69.50; H, 6.85; N, 3.52. Found: C, 69.42; H, 6.77; N, 3.63.

Typical Procedure for the Synthesis of β -Lactams 9a– g. A solution of β -lactam (1.0 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) was treated with trifluoroacetic acid (2.97 mL, 40 mmol) at room temperature for 4 h. The organic layer was washed with water (4 × 15 mL) and dried over MgSO₄, and the solvents were evaporated. To the resulting crude material was added Et₂O (5 mL), and the resulting mixture was treated with a solution of 0.6–0.7 M CH₂N₂ in Et₂O (8.0 mL, 5.0 mmol). The Et₂O was evaporated, and the resulting crude material was purified by column chromatography (230–400 mesh silica gel; eluant EtOAc/ hexane 1:14).

⁽²⁴⁾ Lee, J. Y.; Chung, J.; Kim, B. H. Synlett 1994, 197.
(25) Oppolzer, W.; Chapuis, C.; Bernardelli, G. Helv. Chim. Acta 1984, 67, 1397.

⁽²⁶⁾ Cossio, F. P.; Lopez, M. C.; Palomo, C. *Tetrahedron* **1987**, *43*, 3963.

(3*S*,4*S*)-3-Methyl-4-(methoxycarbonyl)-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)azetidin-2-one (9a). The general procedure was followed using **6a** (0.29 g, 1 mmol): yield 0.21 g (85%); mp 80–82 °C (cyclohexane); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -175.6$ (c = 1.0, CH₂Cl₂); IR (KBr, $v \text{ cm}^{-1}$) 1746 (C=O); MS(m/z, rel intensity) 249 (11.5), 149 (27.9), 134 (100.0); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 7.25 (d, 2H, J = 9.1 Hz), 6.89 (d, 2H, J = 9.1 Hz), 4.61 (d, 1H, J = 6.1 Hz), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.67 (dq, 1H, J = 6.1, 7.6 Hz), 1.28 (d, 3H, J = 7.6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 167.8, 165.1, 156.2, 137.8, 131.1, 128.7, 128.5, 126.6, 117.8, 114.3, 83.2, 56.2, 55.4, 52.9, 30.7, 27.8. Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₅NO₄ (249.27): C, 62.64; H, 6.07; N, 5.62. Found: C, 63.01; H, 6.32; N 5.75.

(3*S*,4*S*)-3-Ethyl-4-(methoxycarbonyl)-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)azetidin-2-one (9b). The general procedure was followed using **6b** (0.37 g, 1 mmol): yield 0.19 g (73%); mp 102–104 °C (cyclohexane); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -136.3$ (c = 1.0, CH₂Cl₂); IR (KBr, vcm⁻¹) 1732 (C=O); MS(m/z, rel intensity) 263 (16.3), 149 (44.6), 134 (100.0); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 1.11 (d, 3H, J = 6.8 Hz), 1.56–1.98 (m, 2H), 3.54 (dt, 1H, J = 6.0, 8.0 Hz), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 4.63 (d, 1H, J = 6.0 Hz), 6.89 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz), 7.26 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 169.5, 165.6, 156.2, 131.0, 117.8, 114.4, 55.5, 55.3, 54.7, 52.4, 19.0. Anal. Calcd for C₁/H₁₇NO₄ (263.29): C, 63.87; H, 6.51; N, 5.32. Found: C, 63.50; H, 6.60; N, 5.23.

(3*S*,4*S*)-3-Benzyl-4-(methoxycarbonyl)-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)azetidin-2-one (9c). The general procedure was followed using **6c** (0.30 g, 1 mmol): yield 0.29 g (90%); mp 112–114 °C (cyclohexane); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -63.3$ (c = 1.0, CH₂Cl₂); IR (KBr, $v \, \rm cm^{-1}$) 1736, 1727 (C=O); MS(m/z, rel intensity) 325 (22.7), 149 (59.3), 134 (100.0); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 2.96 (dd, 1H, J = 9.3, 15.0 Hz), 3.25 (dd, 1H, J = 6.8, 15.0 Hz), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.96 (dt, 1H, J = 6.6, 9.2 Hz), 4.60 (d, 1H, J = 6.0 Hz), 6.86 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz), 7.22–7.34 (m, 7H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 169.1, 164.7, 156.2, 137.2, 130.7, 128.7, 128.4, 126.5, 117.6, 114.2, 55.2, 54.9, 53.4, 52.2, 30.8. Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₁₉-NO₄ (325.36): C, 70.14; H, 5.89; N, 4.31. Found: C, 69.80; H, 6.01; N, 4.33.

(3*S*,4*S*)-4-(Methoxycarbonyl)-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-*n*propylazetidin-2-one (9d). The general procedure was followed using 8d (0.35 g, 1 mmol): yield 0.22 g (80%); mp 84–86 °C (cyclohexane); $[\alpha]_{25}^{25} = -148.7$ (c = 1.0, CH₂Cl₂); IR (KBr, vcm⁻¹) 1748 (C=O), 1734 (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 0.96 (t, 3H, J = 6.8 Hz), 1.42–1.80 (m, 4H), 3.58 (dt, 1H, J = 6.0, 7.4 Hz), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.80 (s, 3H, OMe), 4.60 (d, 1H, J = 6.0 Hz), 6.86 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz), 7.24 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 13.9, 20.6, 27.5, 52.4, 53.0, 53.4, 55.4, 55.5, 114.4, 117.8, 131.0, 156.2, 165.8, 169.6; MS (m'_Z , % intensity) 277 (8.4), 149 (34.8), 134 (100.0). Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₉NO₄ (277.33): C, 64.97; H, 6.91; N, 5.05. Found: C, 65.07; H, 6.60; N, 5.13.

(3*S*,4*S*)-4-(Methoxycarbonyl)-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-*n*pentylazetidin-2-one (9e). The general procedure was followed using **8e** (0.37 g, 1 mmol): yield 0.21 g (73%); mp 50–52 °C (cyclohexane); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -110.9$ (c = 1.0, CH₂Cl₂); IR (KBr, vcm⁻¹) 1738 (C=O), 1728 (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 0.88 (t, 3H, J = 6.4 Hz), 1.24–1.77 (m, 8H), 3.55 (dd, 1H, J = 6.0, 7.9 Hz), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 4.58 (d, 1H, J = 6.0 Hz), 6.84 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz), 7.21 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 13.9, 22.3, 25.4, 26.9, 31.6, 52.3, 53.1, 55.3, 55.4, 114.3, 17.7, 130.9, 156.2, 165.7, 169.5; MS (m/z, % intensity) 305 (7.2), 149 (39.1), 134 (100.0). Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₂₃NO₄ (305.37): C, 66.86; H, 7.59; N, 4.59. Found: C, 65.07; H, 6.60; N, 5.13.

(3*S*,4*S*)-3-[(4-Methylphenyl)methyl]-4-(methoxycarbonyl)-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)azetidin-2-one (9f). The general procedure was followed using 8f (0.38 g, 1 mmol): yield 0.23 g (88%); mp 94–96 °C (cyclohexane); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -53.4$ (c = 1.0, CH₂Cl₂); IR (KBr, $v \text{ cm}^{-1}$) 1736, 1727 (C=O); MS (m/z, % intensity) 339 (87.8), 311 (40.5), 252 (100.0), 134 (35.2); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 2.32 (s, 3H), 2.90 (dd, 1H, J = 9.3, 15.0 Hz), 3.19 (dd, 1H, J =6.9, 15.0 Hz), 3.66 (s, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.92 (dt, 1H, J = 6.0, 9.2 Hz), 4.59 (d, 1H, J = 6.0 Hz), 6.85 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz), 7.11 (s_b, 5H), 7.23 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 169.3, 165.0, 156.4, 136.3, 134.3, 130.8, 129.2, 128.4, 117.8, 114.4, 55.5, 55.2, 53.9, 52.4, 30.6, 21.0. Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₂₁NO₄ (339.15): C, 70.78; H, 6.24; N, 4.13. Found: C, 70.75; H, 6.11; N, 4.23.

(3*S*,4*S*)-3-[(4-Methoxyphenyl)methyl]-4-(methoxycarbonyl)-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)azetidin-2-one (9g). The general procedure was followed using **8g** (0.40 g, 1 mmol): yield 0.32 g (91%); mp 172–174 °C (cyclohexane); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -41.2$ (c = 1.0, CH₂Cl₂); IR (KBr, $v \text{ cm}^{-1}$) 1736, 1727 (C=O); MS(m/z, % int.) 355 (73.1), 327 (29.9), 268 (100.0), 193 (7.82), 134 (27.6); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 7.19 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz), 7.15 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz), 6.85 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz), 6.84 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz), 4.58 (d, 1H, J = 5.9 Hz), 3.84 (dt,1H, J = 5.9, 7.1 Hz), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.16 (dd, 1H, J = 7.1, 15.0 Hz), 2.88 (dd, 1H, J = 9.0, 15.0, Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 169.3, 165.0, 158.3, 156.4, 130.8, 129.5, 128.8, 117.8, 114.4, 113.9, 55.4, 55.2, 53.9, 52.4, 30.2. Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₂₁NO₅ (355.14): C, 67.59; H, 5.96; N, 3.94. Found: C, 69.80; H, 6.01; N, 4.33.

(3S,4S)-1-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-3-methyl-4-(methoxycarbonyl)azetidin-2-one (12). A solution of (NH₄)₂Ce(NO₃)₆ (16.4 g, 30 mmol) in water (120 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of the β -lactam **9a** (2,49 g, 10 mmol) in acetonitrile (125 mL) at -10 °C. The mixture was stirred at this temperature for 30 min. Water (400 mL) was then added, and this mixture was treated again with EtOAc (3 \times 150 mL) and washed with a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (350 mL). The aqueous layer of $NaHCO_3$ was extracted again with EtOAc (60 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with NaHSO₃ (40%) (4 \times 300 mL) and saturated solutions of NaHCO₃ (70 mL) and NaCl (70 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, and the solvents were removed in vacuo. The crude β -lactam was dissolved in acetonitrile (10 mL) and di-tert-butyl dicarbonate (1.4 mL, 10 mmol) and DMAP (0.12 g, 1.0 mmol) were added, and the reaction was stirred for 16 h. The organic layer was diluted in CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL) and washed with HCl (25 mL) and NaHCO₃ (10 mL), and the solvents were evaporated. The resulting crude was purified by column chromatography (230-400 mesh silica gel; eluant EtOAc/hexane 1:10): yield 1.46 g (60%); colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -95.3$ (c = 1.0, CH₂Cl₂); IR (KBr, vcm⁻¹) 1808, 1743, 1723 (C=O); MS(*m*/*z*, rel intensity) 187 (10.8), 142 (57.5), 100 (77.0), 57 (100.0); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 4.50 (d, 1H, J = 6.8 Hz), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.54 (m, 1H), 1.50 (s, 9H), 1.20 (d, 3H, J = 7.6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 168.3, 165.9, 54.7, 52.3, 47.3, 27.8, 9.0.

(2S,3S)-Methyl 2-(tert-Butoxycarbonylamino)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-4-oxobutanoate (13). Over a solution of 12 (0.24 g, 1.0 mmol) in THF (3 mL) a solution of 4-methoxyphenylmagnesium bromide 1 M in THF (1.3 mL, 1.3 mmol) was added at -78 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at -40 °C for 1 h, and the reaction was quenched at this temperature with a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NH}_4 \dot{\mathrm{Cl}}.$ The organic layer was stracted with CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and dried over MgSO₄, and the solvents were evaporated. The crude was purified by column chromatography (230-400 mesh silica gel; eluant EtOAc/hexane 1:5): yield 0.26 g (75%); colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +15.7$ (c = 0.75, CH₂Cl₂); IR (KBr, $v \text{ cm}^{-1}$) 3335 (NH), 1735, 1708 (C=O); MS (m/z, rel intensity) 295 (2.7), 136 (9.2), 135 (100.0); $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl_3, δ ppm) 7.96 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz), 6.95 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz), 5.31 (d, 1H, J = 8.1 Hz), 4.59 (dd, 1H, J = 5.9, 8.3 Hz), 4.07 (m, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 9H), 1.28 (d, 3H, J = 7.3 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm) 199.3, 171.7, 163.6, 155.2, 130.6, 113.8, 79.9, 55.6, 55.4, 52.3, 42.7, 28.1, 13.8.

(2S,3S,4R)-2-(tert-Butoxycarbonylamino)-3-methyl-4-(4methoxyphenyl)butyrolactone (11). To solution of 13 (0.35 g, 1.0 mmol) in THF (6 mL) at -78 °C a solution of L-Selectride, 1 M in THF, was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at the same temperature. The reaction was then quenched with a saturated solution of NH₄Cl (6 mL), extracted with Et₂O (15 mL), and filtered through Celite to give an organic solution which was dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated in vacuo to afford a 63:37 mixture of 11 and 14 as a colorless oil. Separation by column chromatography (230-400 mesh silica gel; eluant EtOAc/hexane 1:10 and then 1:5) gave compounds 11 and 14. A solution of 14 (89 mg, 0.28 mmol) in toluene (0.5 mL) was added to a suspension of NDC (320 mg, 0.70 mmol) and pyridine (0.45 mL, 5.57 mmol) in the same solvent (1 mL), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. Afterward, the suspension was filtered through a pad of silica gel, and the organic solution was washed with 6 N HCl (1 mL) and NaHCO₃ (1 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and evaporated to give 11 as a viscous oil. Yield from 14: 074 mg (83%). Overall yield of 11: 0.23 g (73%). $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +20.1$ (c = 0.8, CDCl₃); $lit^{18b} [\alpha]_D^{25} = +20.1$ (c =

0.82, CDCl₃); lit¹⁸ [α]²⁵_D = +20.6 (c = 1.17, CDCl₃). IR (KBr, v cm⁻¹): 1781, 1707 (C=O). MS (m/z, rel intensity): 321 (1.1), 176 (36.4), 160 (100.0), 135 (48.1). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm): 7.28 (d, 2H), 6.92 (d, 2H), 5.10 (d, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz), 4.83 (d, 1H, J = 10.3 Hz), 4.26 (dd, 1H, J = 11.5, 7.6 Hz), 3.82 (s, 3H), 2.34 (m, 1H), 1.47 (s, 9H), 1.17 (d, 3H, J = 6.4 Hz). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, δ ppm): 174.2, 160.2, 155.5, 136.0, 128.1, 114.1, 84.7, 80.5, 57.9, 55.3, 46.8, 28.2, 13.6.

Acknowledgment. Financial support of this work by Gobierno Vasco (projects EX-1997/108, Aquitania-Euskadi) and by Universidad del País Vasco (UPV 170.215-G47/98). A grant from Gobierno Vasco to J.J.G. is gratefully acknowledged.

JO981574D